
HOUSE BILL 2341

State of Washington

65th Legislature

2018 Regular Session

By Representatives Reeves, Tarleton, Kilduff, Ormsby, Appleton, and Stonier

Prefiled 12/29/17. Read first time 01/08/18. Referred to Committee on Environment.

1 AN ACT Relating to the protection of military installations
2 operated by the United States armed services from incompatible
3 development; amending RCW 36.70A.530 and 47.80.030; adding a new
4 section to chapter 43.330 RCW; and creating a new section.

5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

6 NEW SECTION. **Sec. 1.** (1) The legislature, consistent with the
7 intent expressed in RCW 36.70A.530(1), continues to recognize the
8 economic importance of the state's military installations, and the
9 need to prioritize the protection of land near military installations
10 from development that is incompatible with the operational needs of
11 the installation. Since 2004, the growth management act has contained
12 a process for coordinating city and county growth plans with nearby
13 military installations, in order to ensure that growth plans can
14 incorporate the needs of these important local economic and community
15 pillars.

16 (2) To continue to ensure that appropriate steps are taken to
17 protect military installations from incompatible development and to
18 remedy situations in which incompatible development threatens the
19 viability of military installation operations, it is the goal of this
20 act to:

1 (a) Strengthen the existing growth management act coordination
2 process between local governments and military installations, and to
3 require that when local planning studies have considered how to
4 mitigate and avoid incompatible growth around military installations,
5 the results of those planning efforts should be incorporated into
6 local growth plans and regulations;

7 (b) Establish a process for prioritizing capital budget funding
8 to address incompatible development surrounding military
9 installations; and

10 (c) Require military installations to be treated similarly to
11 other regional growth centers by regional transportation planning
12 organizations.

13 **Sec. 2.** RCW 36.70A.530 and 2004 c 28 s 2 are each amended to
14 read as follows:

15 (1) Military installations are of particular importance to the
16 economic health of the state of Washington and it is a priority of
17 the state to protect the land surrounding our military installations
18 from incompatible development.

19 (2) Comprehensive plans, amendments to comprehensive plans,
20 development regulations, or amendments to development regulations
21 adopted under this section shall be adopted or amended concurrent
22 with the scheduled update provided in RCW 36.70A.130, except that
23 counties and cities identified in RCW 36.70A.130(4)(a) shall comply
24 with this section on or before December 1, 2005, and shall thereafter
25 comply with this section on a schedule consistent with RCW
26 36.70A.130(4).

27 (3) Under a comprehensive plan, amendment to a plan, a
28 development regulation, or amendment to a development regulation,
29 ~~((should))~~ a city or county may not allow development in the vicinity
30 of a military installation that is incompatible with the
31 installation's ability to carry out its mission requirements. A city
32 or county may find that an existing comprehensive plan or development
33 regulations are compatible with the installation's ability to carry
34 out its mission requirements.

35 (4) (a) As part of the requirements of RCW 36.70A.070(1) each
36 county and city planning under RCW 36.70A.040 that has a federal
37 military installation, other than a reserve center or a recruiting
38 center, that ~~((employs one hundred or more personnel and))~~ is
39 operated by the United States ~~((department of defense))~~ armed

1 services within or adjacent to its border, shall notify the commander
2 of ~~((the military installation of the county's or city's intent))~~
3 proposals by the county or city to amend ((its)) a comprehensive plan
4 or amend or adopt development regulations ((to address lands adjacent
5 to military installations to ensure those lands are protected from
6 incompatible development)) if the proposal applies to lands where
7 development may interfere with the installation's ability to carry
8 out its current or future mission requirements. The notice provided
9 to the commander must fully inform the commander of the county's or
10 city's proposal.

11 (b) In order to determine which types and locations of
12 development may interfere with the installation's current or future
13 mission activities and which therefore require notification under (a)
14 of this subsection, the city or county must periodically solicit
15 feedback from the commander regarding the types and locations of
16 development activities that the commander deems potentially
17 incompatible with the activities of the military installation. It is
18 necessary and sufficient for a city or county to consider this
19 feedback when determining whether to notify the commander of a
20 proposed comprehensive plan change or a new or amended development
21 regulation.

22 (5) ~~((a))~~ The notice provided under subsection (4) of this
23 section shall request from the commander ~~((of the military~~
24 ~~installation))~~ a written ~~((recommendation and supporting facts))~~
25 response with comments relating to the ~~((use of land being considered~~
26 ~~in the adoption of a comprehensive plan or an amendment to a plan))~~
27 county's or city's proposal and providing recommendations to ensure
28 the military installation is protected from incompatible development.
29 The notice shall provide sixty days for a response from the
30 commander. If the commander does not submit a response to such
31 request within sixty days, the local government may presume that
32 implementation of the proposed plan ~~((or)),~~ plan amendment,
33 development regulation, or amended development regulation will not
34 have any adverse effect on the operation of the installation.

35 ~~((b) When a county or city intends to amend its development~~
36 ~~regulations to be consistent with the comprehensive plan elements~~
37 ~~addressed in (a) of this subsection, notice shall be provided to the~~
38 ~~commander of the military installation consistent with subsection (4)~~
39 ~~of this section. The notice shall request from the commander of the~~
40 ~~military installation a written recommendation and supporting facts~~

1 ~~relating to the use of land being considered in the amendment to the~~
2 ~~development regulations. The notice shall provide sixty days for a~~
3 ~~response from the commander to the requesting government. If the~~
4 ~~commander does not submit a response to such request within sixty~~
5 ~~days, the local government may presume that implementation of the~~
6 ~~proposed development regulation or amendment will not have any~~
7 ~~adverse effect on the operation of the installation.)~~)

8 (6) Where one or more counties or cities and a military base have
9 jointly developed plans or studies, such as a joint land use study,
10 to identify potentially incompatible uses and necessary mitigation
11 and avoidance measures, each county or city must adopt comprehensive
12 plan and development regulation amendments that are consistent with
13 and implement the recommendations of the plans or studies on or
14 before the next periodic update specified in RCW 36.70A.130(5).

15 (7) For purposes of this section, "commander" means the commander
16 of a military installation operated by the United States armed
17 services, or the commander's designee.

18 NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. A new section is added to chapter 43.330
19 RCW to read as follows:

20 (1) The department of commerce must establish a competitive
21 process to solicit proposals for and prioritize projects whose
22 primary objective is to increase the compatibility of surrounding
23 land uses with current or future missions at military bases within
24 Washington.

25 (2) The department of commerce must establish a competitive
26 process to prioritize applications for the assistance as follows:

27 (a) The department of commerce must conduct a statewide
28 solicitation of project applications from local governments,
29 nonprofit organizations, and other entities that the department of
30 commerce determines has the potential to be viable proponents of
31 eligible projects. The department of commerce must evaluate and rank
32 applications in consultation with a citizen advisory committee using
33 objective criteria. At a minimum, applicants must demonstrate that
34 the requested assistance will increase the viability of military
35 bases for current or future missions. The evaluation and ranking
36 process must also include an examination of existing assets that
37 applicants propose to apply to projects. Grant assistance under this
38 section may not exceed twenty-five percent of the total cost of the
39 project. The nonstate portion of the total project cost may include

1 cash, the value of real property when acquired solely for the purpose
2 of the project, and in-kind contributions.

3 (b) Eligible projects may include: Acquisition of real property
4 or real property interests to eliminate an existing incompatible use;
5 projects to jointly assist in the recovery or protection of
6 endangered species dependent on military base property for habitat;
7 local infrastructure or facilities necessary to help a community
8 accommodate an expanded military presence in their community;
9 projects or programs to increase the availability of housing
10 affordable to enlisted military personnel; and projects to retrofit
11 existing uses to increase their compatibility with existing military
12 operations.

13 (c) Where one or more counties or cities and a military base have
14 jointly developed plans or studies, such as a joint land use study,
15 to identify potentially incompatible uses and necessary mitigation
16 and avoidance measures, a county or city must adopt comprehensive
17 plan and development regulation amendments consistent with RCW
18 36.70A.530(6) in order to be eligible to submit requests for funding.

19 (d) The department of commerce must submit a prioritized list of
20 recommended projects to the governor and the legislature in the
21 department of commerce's biennial capital budget request beginning
22 with the 2019-2021 biennium and every two years thereafter. The list
23 must include a description of each project, the amount of recommended
24 state funding, and documentation of nonstate funds to be used for the
25 project. The total amount of recommended state funding for projects
26 on a biennial project list must not exceed twenty-five million
27 dollars. The department of commerce may not sign contracts or
28 otherwise financially obligate funds under this section until the
29 legislature has approved a specific list of projects.

30 (e) In contracts for grants authorized under this section that
31 include the purchase of real property or real property interests, the
32 department of commerce must include provisions that require that any
33 subsequent reuse or disposal does not allow an incompatible land use.

34 (f) In contracts for grants authorized under this section the
35 department of commerce must include provisions that require that
36 capital improvements be held by the grantee for a specified period of
37 time appropriate to the amount of the grant and that facilities be
38 used for the express purpose of the grant. If the grantee is found to
39 be out of compliance with provisions of the contract, the grantee
40 must repay to the state general fund the principal amount of the

1 grant plus interest calculated at the rate of interest on state of
2 Washington general obligation bonds issued most closely to the date
3 of authorization of the grant.

4 (3) The department of commerce may adopt rules to implement this
5 section.

6 **Sec. 4.** RCW 47.80.030 and 2005 c 328 s 2 are each amended to
7 read as follows:

8 (1) Each regional transportation planning organization shall
9 develop in cooperation with the department of transportation,
10 providers of public transportation and high capacity transportation,
11 ports, and local governments within the region, adopt, and
12 periodically update a regional transportation plan that:

13 (a) Is based on a least cost planning methodology that identifies
14 the most cost-effective facilities, services, and programs;

15 (b) Identifies existing or planned transportation facilities,
16 services, and programs, including but not limited to major roadways
17 including state highways and regional arterials, transit and
18 nonmotorized services and facilities, multimodal and intermodal
19 facilities, marine ports and airports, railroads, and noncapital
20 programs including transportation demand management that should
21 function as an integrated regional transportation system, giving
22 emphasis to those facilities, services, and programs that exhibit one
23 or more of the following characteristics:

24 (i) Crosses member county lines;

25 (ii) Is or will be used by a significant number of people who
26 live or work outside the county in which the facility, service, or
27 project is located;

28 (iii) Significant impacts are expected to be felt in more than
29 one county;

30 (iv) Potentially adverse impacts of the facility, service,
31 program, or project can be better avoided or mitigated through
32 adherence to regional policies;

33 (v) Transportation needs addressed by a project have been
34 identified by the regional transportation planning process and the
35 remedy is deemed to have regional significance; and

36 (vi) Provides for system continuity;

37 (c) Establishes level of service standards for state highways and
38 state ferry routes, with the exception of transportation facilities
39 of statewide significance as defined in RCW 47.06.140. These

1 regionally established level of service standards for state highways
2 and state ferries shall be developed jointly with the department of
3 transportation, to encourage consistency across jurisdictions. In
4 establishing level of service standards for state highways and state
5 ferries, consideration shall be given for the necessary balance
6 between providing for the free interjurisdictional movement of people
7 and goods and the needs of local commuters using state facilities;

8 (d) Includes a financial plan demonstrating how the regional
9 transportation plan can be implemented, indicating resources from
10 public and private sources that are reasonably expected to be made
11 available to carry out the plan, and recommending any innovative
12 financing techniques to finance needed facilities, services, and
13 programs;

14 (e) Assesses regional development patterns, capital investment
15 and other measures necessary to:

16 (i) Ensure the preservation of the existing regional
17 transportation system, including requirements for operational
18 improvements, resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation of
19 existing and future major roadways, as well as operations,
20 maintenance, modernization, and rehabilitation of existing and future
21 transit, railroad systems and corridors, and nonmotorized facilities;
22 and

23 (ii) Make the most efficient use of existing transportation
24 facilities to relieve vehicular congestion and maximize the mobility
25 of people and goods;

26 (f) Sets forth a proposed regional transportation approach,
27 including capital investments, service improvements, programs, and
28 transportation demand management measures to guide the development of
29 the integrated, multimodal regional transportation system. For
30 regional growth centers, the approach must address transportation
31 concurrency strategies required under RCW 36.70A.070 and include a
32 measurement of vehicle level of service for off-peak periods and
33 total multimodal capacity for peak periods; and

34 (g) Where appropriate, sets forth the relationship of high
35 capacity transportation providers and other public transit providers
36 with regard to responsibility for, and the coordination between,
37 services and facilities.

38 (2) The organization may designate a military installation as a
39 regional growth center when the civilian and military workforce of

1 the military installation is comparable in size to the workforce of
2 the other regional growth centers.

3 (3) The organization shall review the regional transportation
4 plan biennially for currency and forward the adopted plan along with
5 documentation of the biennial review to the state department of
6 transportation.

7 ~~((3))~~ (4) All transportation projects, programs, and
8 transportation demand management measures within the region that have
9 an impact upon regional facilities or services must be consistent
10 with the plan and with the adopted regional growth and transportation
11 strategies.

--- END ---